

| | | | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/902,553 | GFELLER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Krista M. Flanagan | 2631 | |

All Participants:

(1) Krista M. Flanagan.

(2) David Aker.

Status of Application: Amendment

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2005

Time: 1030 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 19 reads as being dependent upon claim 18 which has been canceled through the amendment. Aker agreed that the claim should be dependent upon claim 17, not 18. Agreed upon examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)